

## Who abducted the European policy?

**EU Jonathan L.**

Physical Security Advisor - Intelligence Analysis

## **Who abducted the European policy?**

[https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/renaissance-european-policy-jonathan-locufier?trk=pulse\\_spock-articles](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/renaissance-european-policy-jonathan-locufier?trk=pulse_spock-articles)

## **Who abducted the European policy?**

- Published on January 20, 2017
- Like Who abducted the European policy ?
- ShareShare Who abducted the European policy?

Reply from: mahmoud saneipour:

**Mahmoud Saneipour** Who abducted the European policy? In true, Triangle of England, Israel and America, Europe without this Triangle try to return to self-glorious history

در حقیقت ، مثلث انگلستان ، اسرائیل و آمریکا هستند ، اروپا ی بدون این مثلث ، تلاش کند به تاریخ با شکوه خود برگردد

**Jonathan L.** Thank you for the Likes!

**EU Jonathan L.** It's just a rhetorical question to illustrate my article and to invite people to give their own point of view about it.

**EU Jonathan L.** I think that the Member States themselves abducted it to

weaken the EU power and I don't think that UK/USA/ISR want that Europe disappears because Russia will be too happy with that

تشکر میکنم از آقای EU Jonathan L. ، ایشان در مورد این سوال از معناداری و تضعیف کنندگی بحث میکند و دلایلی متقنی نیز اقامه نمی دهد ولی بحث ایشان نشان دهنده شاکت وی به ادبیات و هرمنوتیک قدرت و بنیان های محکم ایستادگی در مقابل حوادث خطرناک جهان میباشد ، زمانی که از امریکا ، ، اروپا مهد فلسفه ، دانش و فناوری و مملو از دانشمندان جهان بود و علاوه بر آفرینش حکمت خود در فضای آرام و بدون تنش ، از حکمت های شرق استفاده کرده و علم و فناوری آفریده است و این انگلستان بوده که طبق اسناد تاریخی ، اروپا را به طرف استعمار و جنگ ترغیب کرده است.

من در دفاعیه از اروپای بدون انگلیس ( به عنوان یک محقق میان رشته ای ) لازم میدانم که بگویم ، آنچه شامل قدرت یک کشور و یا اتحادیه است ، قدرت گفتن و روابط هم زیستی ، در قوام بخشی وحدت جهانی ، خیرخواهی برای ملت ها ، تولید علم و فناوری ، اخلاق و حکمت های بالغه و کمک به سایر کشورها و حضور در قلب بشریت است نه زور گوئی ، مثلث مذکور این کفایت را از دست داده است و شایستگی رفاقت با اروپای با شکوه را ندارد ، و اروپا نیازی به نصیحت امریکا ندارد، من برای اروپا آینده ای بسیار درخشان پیش بینی میکنم و اروپا بخوبی یاد دارد با همسایه خود ، روسیه با مسالمت و دوستی رفتار کند و از قدرت روسها برای مقابله با داعش سازان و تولید کنندگان مشکلات جهانی بهره بگیرد .

Thanks of Mr. EU Jonathan L. he argues about this question of meaningful, rhetorical and weakened immune and also he not brought of Sound argument about this matter, but it shows his argument from his recognizes of Hermeneutics' power in the world, when , there were no of America , Europe was Cradle philosophy ,knowledge and technologies , and full of international scientists and In addition to the self - Creation of wisdom it has used of eastern wisdoms in the relaxed atmosphere and without tension , in fact , This was England has been Encouraged Europe toward to Colonial wars.

I need to say in related to defense of "Europe without England "What includes power or Union, there is discourse's power and Relations of Coexistence, hopping of others counties (like Kashmir read), proofing of unity, increasing of ethics and intelligence wisdoms and Presence in Human hearts, not The compulsion, the mentioned Triangle lost this adequacy and don't have competence of Friendship whit glorious Europe and Europe doesn't need any Advice of America, Europe has a Very bright in future and it knows to how

Behave by its neighbor ( Russia )very well Peacefully, and it Takes many advantages from Russia's power for confronting against terrorist ( like Dahesh )and creators of problems in the world.

## [EU Jonathan L.](#)

### **Follow EU Jonathan L.**

#### Physical Security Advisor - Intelligence Analysis

For a few years now, the European Union has been going through a turbulent period where she struggles to assert itself (if ever it happened one day ...). The Brexit was a blow to the credibility of the EU, invasion of the Crimea also, the Syrian conflict, the fight against ISIS, the calamitous management of migrants from the Levant. All this made the EU find its head under water and struggle to catch its breath. Some, if not many, take advantage of this situation to maintain it in this state in order to demonstrate its uselessness to the benefit of national populism.

The credulous people therefore regard Brussels as the source of all their evils: economic crisis, zero savings, soaring prices, redundant layoffs, wavering social security, etc. Subjects that are not really within the competence of Europe. But that, people do not care and the national policies hammer him often enough so that this amalgam nevertheless becomes an indefinable truth.

That is precisely the problem. These national policies are red-hot against Europe and its values, what it represents and what it accomplishes. The same politicians

who sit in the European Parliament and who do everything to ensure that this doesn't work, making Europe ineffective in order to satisfy their national electoral ambitions at the expense of the general European interest which, in return, offers them a fairly high salary\*. They use what they hate for a truly personal interest.

### **The political paradox at its highest level.**

In practical terms, how can one honor its local and / or national electoral mandate and that of an European representative? There's already so much to do at home that it seems inconceivable that these super-men and women can do everything right, unless, as I said above, their desire is to use Europe without invest in it assiduously. All this clique to the national and European mandates are the real problem of the European Union. Certainly, some want to move towards the construction of a strong Europe, but many brake four irons, sometimes at the request of their country of origin like the United Kingdom for example.

There is, however, a simple idea to stem this problem in order to fully invest in its function; this is the end of the combined national AND European mandates. We need an European political class in the true sense of the term. There would be, on the one hand, European elected representatives and, on the other, a national political class. We must finish with the "two-headed": either we work to build a true EU, or we take care of his country, his province, his department, his city, his village. Europe must be left to the real Europeans. There are too many Eurosceptic and Europhobic representatives in Brussels whose hallucinatory allowances prevent them from destroying everything directly. Imagine for a moment a politician become mayor of a city he hates in order to plunge it into misery? It's a shortcut certainly, but that expresses the mentality of these Europhobes.

### **All resume from scratch.**

This new European political class should be totally devoid of any national mandate and therefore never have any political mandate whatsoever. To those who rightly argue that it takes some experience to hold an European post, I will answer them by this question: "How many Head of States have been elected without ever having held a national mandate beforehand? How many had the portfolio of a ministry as a first political experience? It is the same for Europe: size does not matters for the necessary experience.

The new political class of the 21st century will have to make a choice; committing to his country, a Member of the European Union, or committing to the European Union and working for all its Members. Ultimately all this will result from an European Assembly made up of people devoted to the EU who will participate to its Renaissance and prevent the Nationalists from abducting it for their glory.